UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	for the	JUN 2 4 2008
Western	_ District of Virginia	BY: JOHN F/CORT/15
a)	
) Case No: 7:01CR00092-001	
) USM No: <u>09326-084</u>	
2) Fay F. Spence	

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT AT ROANOKE VA. - FILED

Western Distric	BY: JOHN F/COROSA			
	Į.	Br. Alle of Tax		
United States of America)		<i>*</i>		
v.)				
Tyrone Smith	Case No: 7:01CR00092-001			
)	USM No: <u>09326-084</u>			
Date of Previous Judgment: June 11, 2002	Fay F. Spence			
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Defendant's Attorney			
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)				
Upon motion of ☑ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,				
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:				
☑ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's prepare the last judgment issued) of	reviously imposed sentence of impri months is reduced to	sonment (as reflected in		
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)				
Previous Offense Level: 29	Amended Offense Level:	27		
Criminal History Category: II	Criminal History Category:	II		
Previous Guideline Range: 120 to 121 months	• • • =	120 to 120* months		
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. ☑ Other (explain): *In calculating the reduction granted at sentencing for the defendant's substantial assistance, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5B1.1(c), the court used as a starting point the statutory mandatory minimum of 120 months under 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A). The defendant's amended guideline range for his drug offense is less than the mandatory minimum. However, under § 5B1.1(b), the statutory mandatory minimum becomes the guideline sentence from which any substantial assistance reduction must be calculated. As the guideline sentence is the same as the starting point for the original reduction, no additional reduction is appropriate. III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS 				
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date: June 24, 2008	d June 11, 2002 shall remain in eff	fect.		
Effective Date: (if different from order date)	James C. Turk, Senior United States D Printed name and ti			